

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,251	02/06/2004	Eiichi Mori	042081	6493
38834	7590 11/08/2005		EXAM	INER
	AN, HATTORI, DAN	VU, PHU		
1250 CONNE SUITE 700	ECTICUT AVENUE, N	V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20036		2871	
				5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK
address
nely. communication.
he merits is
CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.
al Stage

				AT			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/772,251	MORI, EIICHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phu Vu	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status			•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 26 July 05</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		·				
7) 📙	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	•	,				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
· 10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		` '				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col		- * *				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	·			
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
•	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · · — —				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	n received in this National St	age			
* 0	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received				
	see the attached detailed Office action for a	nst of the certified copies no	it received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 or P10/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,			
	1						

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1-8, and 11-14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuo et. al US Patent No. 6762806.

Matsuo teaches a display device comprising: a display unit having a plate (fig. 2 element4), a chassis (fig. 2 elements 5 and 67) surrounding peripheral edges of the plate; and a bezel (fig. 1, 2 element 6) formed on the chassis and holding peripheral edges of the plate, and a cover (figs 1-3 element 51) enclosing the back-side surface of the display unit the bezel being provided with an extension portion (fig. 1 and 3 around element 66) that extends from a side of the display unit and outwardly projects from beyond an end of the chassis at a level of an upper surface of the cover. The chassis (element 67 and element 5) are integral therefore both elements are considered a chassis interpreting the chassis this way meets the limitation of surrounding the

Art Unit: 2871

peripheral edges of the plate and supporting the peripheral edges of the plate. The limitation of support is interpreted broadly as the chassis (element 5 and 67) supports an edge of the plate (at element 67) and indirectly supports the plate through supporting another element (see fig. 2 element 2).

Regarding claims 5, claim 5 is identical to claim 1 with the exception of the preamble, which recites "an electronic apparatus" instead of a display device. A display device is considered an electronic apparatus.

Regarding claims 2 and 6, the reference teaches the bezel extension portion is disposed in a vicinity of a base portion of display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 3 and 7, the reference teaches the display unit arranged in a rectangular formation, and the bezel extension portion is extends from a lateral side of the display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 4 and 8, the reference teaches an inside space surrounded by end surfaces of the display unit (fig. 1 element 4) and the cover (fig. 1 element 1), and formed beneath a back-side of the surface of the bezel extension portion, and an electronic part (fig. 1 element 1) is disposed within the space.

Regarding claim 11, a the reference teaches display unit: having a plate (fig. 1 element 4), a chassis (fig. 2 elements 5 and 67) surrounding peripheral edges of the plate and a bezel (fig. 1, 2 element 6) formed on the chassis holding the peripheral edges of the plate, the bezel being provided with an extension portion (fig. 1 and 3 around element 66) which is extended from a side of the display unit and outwardly projects from an end of the chassis.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,251

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claims 12, the reference teaches the bezel extension portion is disposed in a vicinity of a base portion of display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 13, the reference teaches the display unit arranged in a rectangular formation, and the bezel extension portion is extends from a lateral side of the display unit (see fig. 1 element 66).

Regarding claim 14, the reference teaches an inside space surrounded by end surfaces of the display unit (fig. 1 element 4) and the cover (fig. 1 element 1), and formed beneath a back-side of the surface of the bezel extension portion, and an electronic part (fig. 1 element 1) is disposed within the space.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 and 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuo as applied to claims 1 or 5, and in view of Fukuyama et. al US Patent 6741299. Matsuo teaches all the limitations of claims 9 and 10 except a display device that has height equivalent to the total height of the display unit and cover only. Fukuyama teaches a display unit where the total height is equal to the total height of the display unit and cover only (see fig. 2 element 14) to reduce thickness (see column 1 lines 5-11). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2871

ordinary skill in the art to have a display wherein the only contributing elements to the total height are the display unit and cover to reduce overall thickness.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,251

Art Unit: 2871

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu

Examiner

AU 2871

Hydru dleelte NDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY FYAMINED